

Message

From: Cooper, Glinda [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=72C2E0A2283F42CC8F8CF3B22387505E-COOPER, GLINDA]
Sent: 9/23/2015 6:23:19 PM
To: Glenn, Barbara [Glenn.Barbara@epa.gov]
CC: Kraft, Andrew [Kraft.Andrew@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: are we supposed to do this for all the evaluaition sections?
Attachments: NAS-NTP Formaldehyde Review 2014.pdf

This is the version from the NAS report on NTP's formaldehyde assessment, where they describe their criteria for their evaluation of the quality/utility (informativeness) of a study. (The report talks a lot about "informativeness"). This seems a little more manageable than the version Barbara has for irritation. It has a separate column for exposure assessment because that's a key issue for this particular topic.

Should we think about whether something like this would be useful for each section (epi section), and if so, how much would be standard across all and how much would differ?

(This discussion begins on page 68 of the report)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

From: Cooper, Glinda
Sent: Friday, September 11, 2015 4:21 PM
To: Glenn, Barbara
Cc: Kraft, Andrew
Subject: are we supposed to do this for all the evaluaiton sections?

Barbara has this talbe in the irritation section – are we doing this for all of the sections:

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Glinda S. Cooper, PhD
Senior Epidemiologist
US Environmental Protection Agency

phone: 703-347-8636
fax: 703-347-8689
email: cooper.glinda@epa.gov

Mailing address: 1200 Pennsylvania Ave NW (8601P), Washington, DC 20460
Courier delivery: Two Potomac Yard (North Building) N-8315
2733 S. Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA 22202